



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/060,541 | 01/30/2002 | Robert Throop | 85ER-00116 | 8885 |

7590

01/24/2006

John S. Beulick
Armstrong Teasdale LLP
One Metropolitan Square
Suite 2600
St. Louis, MO 63102-2740

EXAMINER

BLAIR, DOUGLAS B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2142

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/060,541

Applicant(s)

THROOP, ROBERT

Examiner

Douglas B. Blair

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 and 37-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 and 37-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-35 and 37-46 are currently pending in this application.
2. The 112 and 101 rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-35 and 37-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,704,118 to Hull et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0059317 by Black et al..
5. As to claim 1, Hull teaches a method for managing email content, comprising the steps of: electronically monitoring network email for email content (col. 8, lines 27-63); automatically extracting the content (col. 8, lines 27-63); and loading the content to a graphics database accessible from the network (col. 9, lines 30-55); however Hull does not explicitly teach analyzing an email for an attachment and converting the attachment into a graphics image format.

Black teaches analyzing the network email to determine whether the network email includes at least one enclosure, if the network email includes at least one enclosure, determining whether the at least one enclosure is in a non-graphics image format (paragraph 46), and

Art Unit: 2142

converting the content of the network email including the at least one enclosure having a non-graphics image format to a graphics image format (paragraph 93).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hull regarding the archival of email content with the teachings of Black regarding the detection and conversion of email attachments because conversion of email attachments into a standard format simplifies document storage (Black, paragraphs 2-5).

6. As to claim 2, Black teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the attachments have graphic image formats (paragraph 45).

7. As to claim 3, Black teaches the method of claim 1, the step of automatically extracting the content comprising extracting electronic documents from the email (paragraph 46).

8. As to claim 4, Hull teaches the method of claim 1, the step of automatically extracting the content comprising extracting parsed text from the email (col. 9, lines 30-55).

9. As to claim 5, the Hull-Black combination teaches a method of claim 1 including the step of loading the content into a graphics database however the Hull-Black combination does not teach loading into a FileNet repository.

Official notice is taken that FileNet is was a well known type of graphics database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of the Hull-Black combination regarding the archiving of email with a FileNet repository because FileNet repositories were well known types of graphics databases.

Art Unit: 2142

10. As to claim 6, Black teaches a method of claim 1, including associating content with business tasks and triggering task to be performed as part of a workflow process (paragraph 99).

11. As to claim 7, Hull teaches the step of electronically monitoring comprising periodically polling one or more email inboxes for new messages (col. 8, lines 27-63).

12. As to claim 8, the Hull-Black combination teaches a method of claim 7, including the step of periodically polling however the Hull-Black combination does not teach polling the email inboxes at about every ten seconds.

Official notice is taken that polling a mailbox at an arbitrary interval, including 10 seconds, was well known at time of the applicant's invention.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of the Hull-Black combination regarding the archiving of email with a polling at a random interval because any email system must have some interval to poll for checking for new mail messages.

13. As to claim 9, Hull teaches claim 1, further comprising the step of automatically determining an organization associated with the email (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

14. As to claim 10, Hull teaches the method of claim 9, the step of automatically determining an organization comprising automatically comparing the organization with an authorization list (col. 8, lines 51-59, the configuration file).

15. As to claim 11, Hull teaches claim 10, further comprising forwarding the email to an electronic file for error handling in the event that the organization is not within the authorization list (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

Art Unit: 2142

16. As to claim 12, Hull teaches the step of automatically determining an organization comprising the step of interrogating a from-field of the email (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

17. As to claim 13, Hull teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of automatically scanning the email for illegal text strings defined in a predetermined electronic file (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

18. As to claim 14, Hull teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising moving the message with one or more illegal text strings to an electronic file for error handling (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

19. As to claim 15, Hull teaches the method of claim 1, the step of automatically extracting the content comprising the step of converting the email, without enclosures, to a graphics image (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

20. As to claim 16, Hull teaches the method of claim 15, the step of converting the email to a graphics image comprising converting the email to a TIFF image (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

21. As to claim 17, Black teaches the method of claim 1, including interpreting enclosures (paragraph 46).

22. As to claim 18, Black teaches the step of converting the enclosures to one or more graphic images (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).

23. As to claim 19, Black teaches converting multiple graphic images to a single page graphic image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).

Art Unit: 2142

24. As to claim 20, Black teaches converting multiple graphic images to a single page graphic image comprising converting to a TIFF image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
25. As to claim 21, Black teaches converting each non-graphic image enclosures of the email to one or more graphic images (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
26. As to claim 22, Black teaches converting multiple graphic images to a single page graphic image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
27. As to claim 23, Black teaches converting each of the non-graphic image enclosure comprising converting the non-graphic image enclosure to one or more TIFF images (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
28. As to claim 24, Black teaches converting to one or more TIFF images comprising converting multiple page TIFF images to a single page TIFF image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
29. As to claim 25, Black teaches the non-graphic image enclosures comprising one or more of spreadsheets, documents, rich text files, and postscript files (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
30. As to claim 26, Black teaches converting .pdf files to one or more graphic images (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
31. As to claim 27, Black teaches converting the one or more graphic images to a single page TIFF image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
32. As to claim 28, Black teaches converting graphic images to one or more TIFF images (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).
33. As to claim 29, Black teaches converting multi-page TIFF images to a single page TIFF image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).

Art Unit: 2142

34. As to claim 30, Hull teaches the graphic images comprising one or more of .pdf and jpg files (col. 8, lines 27-63 and col. 9, lines 30-55).

35. As to claim 31, Black teaches converting each .wpd file to a .doc file (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).

36. As to claim 32, Black teaches converting each .doc file to one or more graphic images (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).

37. As to claim 33, Black teaches converting the images to a single page TIFF image (paragraphs 40-50 and 90-100).

38. As to claim 34, Black teaches formulating an over view file summarizing the content stored in the graphics database (paragraph 97).

39. As to claims 35 and 37-45, they feature limitations found in the claims above and are therefore rejected for the same reasons as the claims above.

40. As to claim 46, the Hull-Black combination teaches the process of claim 45; however the Hull-Black combination does not teach it for an insurance claim.

Official notice is taken that it was obvious at the time of the invention to use a computer network to process an insurance claim.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of the Hull-Black combination regarding the archiving of email with the processing of an insurance claim because the insurance industry has used computer networks for decades.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2142

41. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-35 and 37-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

42. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

43. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2142

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

DBB



BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER